

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/771,789	-	02/03/2004	Daisuke Ito	1232-5272	3346	
27123	7590	09/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.				WYATT, KEVIN S		
• •		IAL CENTER 10281-2101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1.2 101	,			2878		
				DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SÍ			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	——————————————————————————————————————			
	10/771,789	ITO, DAISUKE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Wyatt	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this communic NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the merit	s is			
closed in accordance with the practice under the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	l <b>.</b>					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8-10,12-14,16 and 18 is/are r	rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>3,7,11,15 and 17</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>3,7,11,15 and 17</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•	•			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/03/2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-152	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ts have been received.  ts have been received in Application of the process of th	ation No ived in this National Stage	<del>)</del>			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa	Date  I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/771,789 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 19, line 15, "angel" should be changed to --angle--.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 1,17-18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 4, "first lens element" should be changed to --first lens unit--.

In claim 1, line 8, "second lens element" should be changed to

--second lens unit--.

In claim 17, line 4, "first lens element" should be changed to --first lens unit--.

In claim 17, line 8, "second lens element" should be changed to

--second lens unit--.

Art Unit: 2878

In claim 18, line 4, "first lens element" should be changed to --first lens unit--.

In claim 18, line 8, "second lens element" should be changed to
--second lens unit--.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 4, 9-10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hata (U.S. Patent No. 4,993,814).

Regarding claim 1, Hata shows in Figs. 8-9, a lens system comprising: in order from the front to the rear, a first lens unit (I) having a negative optical power, the first lens element consisting of a lens element (L1) whose rear surface has a concave shape; an aperture stop (3); and a second lens unit (II) having a positive optical power, the second lens element comprising three lens elements (L2,L3, and L4), wherein the following condition is satisfied: 0.7 < td/f < 1.3 (i.e., according to tables 17-18, ( $td = d_1 + d_2 ...d_9$ , thus  $td_{table\ 17} = 21.95$ ,  $td_{table\ 18} = 22.02$  respectively, and given f = 23.0,  $td/f_{table\ 17} = 0.95$ , and  $td/f_{table\ 18} = 0.95$ , therefore the ratio of system lens length to focal length provided by Hata meets the above conditions) where td denotes a length of the entire lens system, and f denotes a focal length of the entire lens system (see tables 17-18).

Application/Control Number: 10/771,789 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Hata shows in Figs. 8-9, a lens system wherein the following conditions are satisfied: 0.8<If1I/f<2.5, 0.1<d2/f<0.8, where f1 denotes a focal length of the first lens unit, and d2 denotes an interval from a rearmost surface of the first lens unit to a foremost surface of the second lens unit (see calculations below).

Art Unit: 2878

#### Calculations

$$P = \frac{n - n_0}{R} \qquad Eq \ [2-5] \quad \text{p. 31} \qquad \qquad \text{from table 17:}$$

$$\text{thus,} \qquad P_1 = \frac{n - n_0}{R_1} \quad \text{and} \quad P_2 = \frac{n - n_0}{R_2} \qquad \qquad \qquad n_0 = 1.59 \qquad \qquad n_0 = 1.00 \qquad \qquad \\ P_{eq} = \quad \frac{P_1}{1 - P_1(d/n)} + P_2 \qquad \qquad Eq \ [3-12] \quad \text{p. 59} \qquad \qquad R_1 = 54.23 \qquad \qquad \\ R_2 = 12.70 \qquad \qquad d = 1.60 \qquad \qquad d_2 = 12.70 \qquad \qquad d = 1.60 \qquad \qquad \\ = \quad \frac{1.59 - 1.00}{54.23} + \frac{1.60}{1.59} + \frac{1.59 - 1.00}{12.70} \qquad \qquad f = 17.0 \qquad \qquad \\ d_2 = 1.00 \qquad \qquad d_3 = 1.00 \qquad \qquad d_3 = 1.00 \qquad \qquad \\ = \quad \frac{0.0108}{1 - 0.0108 + 1.0063} + 0.0464 \qquad \qquad \qquad d_3 = 1.00 \qquad \qquad \\ \text{where } f_{eq} = \text{f1} \qquad \qquad \text{thus, } \quad 0.8 < 17.40 / 17.0 < 2.5 \qquad \qquad \\ 0.8 < 1.02 < 2.5 \qquad \qquad \text{and} \qquad \qquad \qquad$$

Therefore the following conditions are satisfied:

0.1< 0.24 < 0.8

0.1 < (3.00 + 1.10)/17.0 < 0.8

Regarding claims 4 and 12, Hata shows in Figs. 8-9 that the second lens unit has one or more aspherical surfaces.

Regarding claim 9, Hata shows in Figs. 8-9 a lens system comprising: in order from the front to the rear, a first lens element (L<sub>1</sub>) having a meniscus shape whose concave surface is directed rearward and having a negative optical power (i.e., negative lens element (L<sub>1</sub>) whose image side surface has a stronger refractive power than its object side surface, col. 11, lines 17-18); an aperture stop (3, i.e., aperature diaphragm, col. 16, lines 5-6); a second lens element (L<sub>2</sub>) whose both lens surfaces have a convex shape; a third lens element (L<sub>3</sub>) whose both lens surfaces have a concave shape; and a fourth lens element (L<sub>4</sub>) whose rear surface has a convex shape and having a positive optical power, wherein lens elements included in the lens system are only the first to fourth lens elements.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5-6,13-14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hata (U.S. Patent No. 4,993,814) in view of Raynor (Publication No. U.S. 2002/0079491 A1).

Regarding claims 5 and 13, Hata discloses the claimed invention as stated above. Hata does not disclose that the lens system forms an image on a photosensitive surface of a photoelectric conversion element. Raynor shows in Figs. 6-8 a solid state

Art Unit: 2878

image sensor comprising a microlens array. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the image sensor of Raynor to the device of Hata for the purpose of capturing an image formed at the end of a lens system resulting in a digital signal.

Regarding claims 6 and 14, Hata discloses the claimed invention as stated above. Hata does not disclose that a photoelectric conversion element which receives light of an image formed by the lens system. Raynor shows in Figs. 6-8 a solid state image sensor comprising a microlens array. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the image sensor of Raynor to the device of Hata for the purpose of capturing an image formed at the end of a lens system resulting in a digital signal.

Regarding claim 18, Hata discloses the claimed invention as stated above. Hata does not disclose an image-taking apparatus with a lens system comprising: a photoelectric conversion element which receives light of an image formed by the lens system, wherein the following condition is satisfied: 15°<θ<25° where θ denotes an angle formed by an off-axis principal ray which are directed from a rearmost surface of the second lens unit to a maximum image height on a photosensitive surface of the photoelectric conversion element and an axis principal ray. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the image sensor of Raynor to the device of Hata for the purpose of capturing an image formed at the end of a lens system resulting in a digital signal. In addition, it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are discloses in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. It would have also been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide

Art Unit: 2878

a lens system that directs light rays in the desired angular range for the purpose of providing desired image detection and improved performance.

8. Claims 8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hata (U.S. Patent No. 4,993,814).

Regarding claims 8 and 16, Hata discloses the claimed invention as stated above. Hata does not disclose an image-taking apparatus wherein the following condition is satisfied:  $15^{\circ}$ <0  $\times$ 0  $\times$ 0 where 0 a denotes an angle formed by an off-axis principal ray which are directed from a rear surface of fourth lens element to a maximum image height a photosensitive surface of the photoelectric conversion element and an on-axis principal ray. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are discloses in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a lens system that directs light rays in the desired angular range for the purpose of providing desired image detection and improved performance.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 3,7,11 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 3 and 11, the prior art fails to disclose or make

Q

Application/Control Number: 10/771,789

Art Unit: 2878

obvious, either singly or in combination, a lens system, comprising, in addition to the other recited features of the claim, a lens element which satisfies the following condition: n>2.0 where n denotes a refractive index of the material of the lens element.

Regarding claim 7, the prior art fails to disclose or make obvious, either singly or in combination, an image-taking apparatus comprising, in addition to the other recited features of the claim, a lens system wherein the following condition is satisfied:  $0.19 < (\tan \omega - \phi/2f)/(1 - ok/f) < 0.37$  where  $\phi$  denotes an effective diameter of a rearmost surface of the second lens unit,  $\omega$  denotes a half-field angle of the entire lens system determined by an effective region of the photosensitive surface of the photoelectric conversion element, and ok denotes a distance from a rearmost surface of the entire lens system to a position of a rear principal point of the entire lens system.

Regarding claim 15, the prior art fails to disclose or make obvious, either singly or in combination, an image-taking apparatus, comprising, in addition to the other recited features of the claim, wherein the following condition is satisfied:  $0.19 < (\tan \omega a - \phi/2f)/(1 - oka/f) < 0.37 \text{ oka/f}) < 0.37 \text{ where } \phi a \text{ denotes an effective diameter}$  of a rear surface of the fourth lens element,  $\omega a$  denotes a half-field angle of the entire lens system determined by an effective region of a photosensitive surface of the photoelectric conversion element, and oka denotes a distance from a rearmost surface of the entire lens system to a position of a rear principal point of the entire lens system.

- 11. Claim 17 is allowed if claim overcomes claim objections.
- 12. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.

Claim 17 is allowed because the prior art fails to disclose or make obvious, either singly or in combination, an image-taking apparatus, comprising, in addition to the other recited features of the claim, a lens system, wherein the following condition is satisfied:  $0.19 < (\tan \omega - \phi/2f)/(1 - ok/f) < 0.37$  where  $\phi$  denotes an effective diameter of a rearmost surface of the second lens unit,  $\omega$  denotes a half-field angle the entire lens system determined by an effective region of a photosensitive surface of the photoelectric conversion element, and denotes a distance from a rearmost surface of the entire lens system to a position of a rear principal point of the entire lens system.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hirakawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,233,474) discloses a wide-angle lens system.

Ogasawara (U.S. Patent No. 5,619,380) discloses an objective optical system for endoscopes.

Doh (U.S. Patent No. 5,812,327) discloses an imaging lens.

Nakabayashi (U.S. Patent No. 6,018,425) discloses a wide-angle lens assembly and method.

Nanba (U.S. Patent No. 6,236,521 B1) discloses an objective lens and image

Art Unit: 2878

pickup device using the same.

Nagaoka (U.S. Patent No. 6,243,217 B1) discloses an objective lens system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Wyatt whose telephone number is (571)-272-5974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Porta can be reached on (571)-272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M, W.

K W

SUPERMISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TABLESULOGY CENTER 2800

Page 11